

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS GREG ABBOTT

March 30, 2005

Ms. Julie Joe Assistant County Attorney County of Travis P. O. Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767

OR2005-02705

Dear Ms. Joe:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 220960.

The Travis County Medical Examiner's Office (the "medical examiner") received two requests for autopsy reports of named individuals. You state that the medical examiner "has already responded" to portions of the second request. We assume the medical examiner has released this information to the requestor. If it has not, it must do so at this time to the extent that such information exists. See Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302. We caution, however, that section 552.352 of the Act imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. You claim that the remaining requested autopsy report is not public information under the Act. Alternatively, we understand you to assert that the autopsy report is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we address your assertion that the Act does not apply to the submitted autopsy report. The Act is applicable to "public information." See Gov't Code § 552.021. "Public information" is defined as information that is collected, assembled, or maintained under a law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business:

- (1) by a governmental body; or
- (2) for a governmental body and the governmental body owns the information or has a right of access to it.

Id. § 552.002(a). Thus, virtually all information that is in the physical possession of a governmental body is public information that is encompassed by the Act. Id. § 552.022(a)(1); see also Open Records Decision Nos. 549 at 4 (1990), 514 at 1-2 (1988).

In this instance, you assert that the requested information is not subject to the Act because it pertains to an autopsy that was "requested and paid for by . . . a member of the decedent's family" We note that chapter 49 of the Code of Criminal Procedure governs the medical examiner's performance of autopsies. Section 11 of article 49.25 provides as follows:

The medical examiner shall keep full and complete records properly indexed, giving the name if known of every person whose death is investigated, the place where the body was found, the date, the cause and manner of death, and shall issue a death certificate. The full report and detailed findings of the autopsy, if any, shall be a part of the record. Copies of all records shall promptly be delivered to the proper district, county, or criminal district attorney in any case where further investigation is advisable. The records are subject to required public disclosure in accordance with Chapter 552, Government Code, except that a photograph or x-ray of a body taken during an autopsy is excepted from required public disclosure in accordance with Chapter 552, Government Code, but is subject to disclosure:

- (1) under a subpoena or authority of other law; or
- (2) if the photograph or x-ray is of the body of a person who died while in the custody of law enforcement.

Code Crim. Proc. art. 49.25, § 11. Thus, the report of an autopsy performed by the medical examiner is subject to required public disclosure in accordance with the Act. *Id.*; see also Open Records Decision No. 21 at 2 (1974) (autopsy reports prepared by medical examiner are public records). The submitted information clearly appears to be held by the medical examiner "in connection with the transaction of official business." Gov't Code § 552.002(a). Therefore, the submitted information is subject to the Act and must be released, unless an exception to disclosure is shown to be applicable. Accordingly, we will address your arguments against disclosure.

You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under the common-law right to privacy. Indus. Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd., 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976). Common-law privacy protects information if it is highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and it is of no legitimate concern to the public. Id. at 683-85. In Industrial Foundation, the Texas Supreme Court considered intimate and embarrassing information that relates to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. Id. at 683. In addition, this office has found that the following types of information are excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy: some kinds of medical information or

¹Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision," and encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy.

information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses, see Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps), personal financial information not relating to the financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body, see Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990), and identities of victims of sexual abuse, see Open Records Decision Nos. 440 (1986), 393 (1983), 339 (1982).

We note, however, that a person's common-law right of privacy terminates upon death. Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters., Inc., 589 S.W.2d 489, 491 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1979, writ ref'd n.r.e.); see also Justice v. Belo Broadcasting Corp., 472 F. Supp. 145, 146-47 (N.D. Tex. 1979) ("action for invasion of privacy can be maintained only by a living individual whose privacy is invaded") (quoting Restatement of Torts 2d); Attorney General Opinion JM-229 (1984) ("the right of privacy lapses upon death"), Open Records Decision No. 272 (1981) ("the right of privacy is personal and lapses upon death"). Thus, the information at issue may not be withheld on the basis of the decedent's common-law right of privacy. However, if the release of information about a deceased person reveals highly intimate or embarrassing information about a living person, the information is protected by common-law privacy on the basis of the living person's privacy interest. See Attorney General Opinion JM-299 (1984). Furthermore, the United States Supreme Court has determined that surviving family members can have a privacy interest in information relating to their deceased relatives. See Nat'l Archives & Records Admin. v. Favish, 124 S. Ct. 1570 (2004). In this instance, you have not demonstrated that the information you seek to withhold is intimate or embarrassing. Therefore, none of the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.101 on the basis of common-law privacy. As you raise no other exceptions to disclosure, the submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the

Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Cindy Nettles

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

(hours

CN/krl

Ref:

ID# 220960

Enc.

Submitted documents

c:

Mr. Asher Price Austin American-Statesman P. O. Box 670

Austin, Texas 78767 (w/o enclosures)

Ms. Eileen Dunne

Quality Review Coordinator North Austin Medical Center 12221 MoPac Expressway North

Austin, Texas 78758 (w/o enclosures)